

Safe Spaces for Aros and Aces - YALSA 2021 Speaker Notes

Slide 1:

ID: (YALSA logo) - Young Adult Library Services Association

Safe Spaces for Aros and Aces

Presented by Sam Helmick, Elizabeth Graham, Kadie Craighead, and The Ace and Aro Advocacy Project

Speaker notes: Content warnings: This presentation mentions sensitive topics such as discussions of sexual violence, racism, and other forms of bigotry and violence, particularly on the slides discussing the harms created by compulsory sexuality and amatonormativity and the intersection with other forms of marginalization

Slide 2:

ID: Introductions

Sam Helmick, they/them, Iowa City Public Library Elizabeth Graham, she/her, New York Public Library and Aces NYC Kadie Craighead, she/they, The Ace and Aro Advocacy Project

An image of the TAAAP logo

Speaker notes: One presenter's name and information was removed for privacy.

Slide 3:

ID: Definitions: What is Asexuality?

Asexual: A sexual orientation referring to people who experience little to no sexual attraction and/or desire to form sexual relationships. Asexual people can be nicknamed "aces" for short.

Key concept to understanding asexuality is **attraction**; aces do not experience sexual **attraction** to other people. Asexuality is not a choice; it is inherent.



Does not mean aces:

- Dislike sex
- Choose to not have sex (celibacy)
- Think sex is a sin

Asexual people may or may not experience romantic attraction and can have any romantic orientation.

Speaker notes: The common definition focuses around attraction rather than someone's behavior or action, though some people identify as ace due to not desiring sex, for example. Some aces have libidos or sex drives and some don't, and either experience is normal.

Slide 4:

ID: Definitions: What is Aromanticism?

Aromantic: A romantic orientation referring to people who experience little to no romantic attraction and/or desire to form romantic relationships. Aromantic people are often called "aros" for short. Just like any other orientation, you cannot choose to be aromantic.

Does not mean aros:

- Are incapable of any kind of love or affection
- Hate other people
- Are "sociopaths"

Aromantic people may or may not experience sexual attraction and can have any sexual orientation. They also might use only their aromantic identity to describe themselves and not identify with any sexual orientation.

Speaker notes: The definitions that emerged as most common in the ace and aro communities center around lack of attraction, but this doesn't necessarily cover everyone who identifies as ace and/or aro. Some people come to identify with aromanticism due not desiring romance, and some people come to identify with asexuality due not desiring sex, and these experiences are valid and have been seen since the early days of the online aspec community.

Orientation terms are meant to help people describe themselves and their experiences, not to put them in rigid boxes. What matters is what a person feels is and isn't



important to their identity. For example, if someone occasionally experiences attraction but has no desire to act on it and does not consider it to be important or relevant to their identity or life, they might identify with an aspec orientation on that basis.

Other times, it can be difficult to identify when something isn't there - attraction can be a nebulous concept, and the lack of it even more so. Sometimes, not engaging in romance might have a bigger, more tangible or visible impact on an aro person's life than their lack of romantic attraction. Similarly, an ace person might feel that not engaging in sex has a bigger, more tangible or visible impact on their life than their lack of sexual attraction.

Being aromantic doesn't necessarily dictate one's attitudes toward romance or other relationships. Some aros do enter romantic relationships. Some aros form nonromantic committed relationships, such as queerplatonic relationships. Some aros are nonpartnering. Aros can be romance favorable, romance neutral, romance averse, or romance repulsed, and aces can be sex favorable, sex neutral, sex averse, or sex repulsed, and those feelings might vary depending on the situation.

While orientation is not a choice, it can be fluid for some people, and that doesn't make it any less natural.

Slide 5:

ID: Asexual and Aromantic Spectra

Sexual orientation:

Asexual

(the asexual flag)

Not/minimally experiencing sexual attraction or desiring sexual relationships

Greysexual

(the greysexual flag)

Experiencing sexual attraction rarely, weakly, under limited circumstances, or in another way that differs from allos

Demisexual

(the demisexual flag)

Not experiencing sexual attraction unless a strong emotional bond has been formed first



Romantic orientation:

Aromantic

(the aromantic flag)

Not/minimally experiencing romantic attraction or desiring romantic relationships

Greyromantic

(the greyromantic flag)

Experiencing romantic attraction rarely, weakly, under limited circumstances, or in another way that differs from allos

Demiromantic

(the demiromantic flag)

Not experiencing romantic attraction unless a strong emotional bond has been formed first

Speaker notes: Aromanticism and asexuality are spectra representing a diversity of experiences and identities. There are some people who may not fit the strictest definition of the words asexual or aromantic but feel their experience aligns more with asexuality or aromanticism than with other orientations. Several terms, sometimes called microlabels, emerged to describe these types of experiences.

- Grey- (sexual/romantic) describes people who experience attraction only rarely, weakly, under limited circumstances, or in another way that significantly differs from allo(ro)s. This is sometimes used as an umbrella term for people who are aspec but not "flat out" aromantic or asexual at the end of the spectrum in other words, they fall into the grey area between either end of the spectrum and it is also a complete identity in itself.
- Allo stands for allosexual, a term for people who have a sexual orientation that is not asexual, such as bisexual
- Alloro stands for alloromantic, a term for people who have a romantic orientation that is not aromantic, such as panromantic
- Demi- (sexual/romantic) describes people who do not experience attraction unless a strong emotional bond has been formed first. The emotional connection is a necessary but not sufficient factor.
- Quoi- (sexual/romantic) describes people who cannot distinguish clearly between romantic or sexual attraction and platonic attraction or bonds. They may mistake one for the other or not differentiate them at all.



- Lith- (sexual/romantic) describes people who may experience attraction and may like the idea of being in a relationship in theory, but they stop experiencing the attraction if it is reciprocated or if they enter an actual relationship, and they may be uncomfortable with the thought of that happening.
- Aego- and autochoris- (sexual/romantic) are two prefixes both used to describe people who may have fantasies and enjoy the idea of sexual or romantic activities, but they do not wish to be a participant themselves. This is often described as feeling a disconnect between oneself and the concept of attraction or relationships, and they may only enjoy the hypothetical concept when it's a situation they couldn't possibly be in (for example, they may enjoy porn or romance novels, but possess no desire to participate in the activities depicted).
- Fray- (sexual/romantic) describes people who only experience attraction to people they are less familiar with. They may lose the attraction entirely upon getting to know the other person. This is sometimes thought of as the opposite of demi.
- Recipro- (sexual/romantic) describes people who only experience attraction after they know someone is attracted to them. Because the attraction is reciprocal, they might worry that their feelings are in some way "less than" those of the person who originated interest.
- (Ace/Aro) -flux describes people who fluctuate along the spectrum, between asexual and allosexual or aromantic and alloromantic. Some people who are aceflux or aroflux will always stay within the asexual or aromantic spectrum, while others may occasionally fall outside of it.

In part due to the lack of recognition and awareness around aromanticism and asexuality, and the stifling expectations of compulsory sexuality and amatonormativity, many more terms have been coined by people seeking a way to describe their specific experiences.

It's important not to conflate aspec identities with neurodivergence or with trauma or to assume that one is the result of the other. They are not the same. An aspec identity doesn't indicate neurodivergence or trauma, and vice versa. However, these things are not and their experiences is valid no matter what their reasons (or lack thereof). They deserve to be supported in their journeys of identity development, not doubted or invalidated. mutually exclusive and can influence each other. For example, **nebula**-(sexual/romantic) is similar to quoi- (sexual/romantic) but specifically for neurodivergent people who cannot clearly distinguish (sexual/romantic) attraction from platonic attraction or bonds *because* of their neurodivergence.



Furthermore, some people *do* identify as aspec because of trauma. **Caed**-(sexual/romantic) is specifically for people who have experienced trauma and feel as though their ability to experience attraction has been "cut away" from them due to their trauma. If someone identifies this way, it is still *never* appropriate to treat anyone's orientation as something to be "fixed." Do not equate recovery with becoming comfortable with sex or romance, and do not assume that is a desired or healthy recovery goal for someone to work toward, either. Sex and romance are not essential to life and it is okay for someone to never want or engage in those things. Being healthy does *not* mean "being allosexual and alloromantic."

People come to aspec identities in many ways, and the way they understand themselves and their experiences is valid no matter what their reasons (or lack thereof). They deserve to be supported in their journeys of identity development, not doubted or invalidated.

Slide 6:

ID: Compulsory Sexuality and Amatonormativity

Compulsory Sexuality: "... scholars have begun to use the term compulsory sexuality to describe the assumption that all people are sexual and to describe the social norms and practices that both marginalize various forms of nonsexuality and compel people to experience themselves as desiring subjects, take up sexual identities, and engage in sexual activity." Kristina Gupta, "Compulsory Sexuality: Evaluating an Emerging Concept"

Amatonormativity: "... the assumptions that a central, exclusive, amorous relationship is normal for humans, in that it is a universally shared goal, and that such a relationship is normative, in that it should be aimed at in preference to other relationship types. ... Amatonormativity prompts the sacrifice of other relationships to romantic love and marriage and relegates friendship and solitudinousness to cultural invisibility." Elizabeth Brake, *Minimizing Marriage*

Speaker notes: In our society, romance and sex are both seen as key life milestones, essential to human experience, and biological inevitabilities. These attitudes are described by compulsory sexuality and amatonormativity, and they contribute to stigma against aspec people and invalidation of aspec identities. They also directly support rape culture and undermine principles of consent.



Compulsory sexuality is the sociocultural assumption that everyone has or will eventually have sex and is interested in sex. This involves stigma and stereotypes against people who don't have or haven't had sex, such as that they are frigid or immature. It intertwines with amatonormativity in that people are expected to form romantic-sexual coupled relationships, and sex is often held up as a special expression of romantic love, so people who do not want to have sex are often told that they cannot be a good romantic partner. People are also assumed to be open to potentially being a sexual partner, and if they are not in a relationship, they are more likely to experience sexual harassment.

The term amatonormativity was coined by Elizabeth Brake and refers to the disproportionate focus on romantic relationships above all else and the widespread assumption that finding romantic love is a universally shared goal. It describes the systemic way in which these sociocultural ideas and norms are upheld - this includes the formal institution of marriage and the thousands of legal benefits it confers. Amatonormativity dictates that couplehood, and marriage in particular, is a special site of morality and a sign of maturity. People who do not want to engage in romance are subject to stigma and stereotypes against them, such as that they are heartless or inhuman, as well as vilification for any sex they may have.

Slide 7:

ID: Intersection with Other Forms of Marginalization

- People with other marginalized identities are denied agency over their orientations and their romantic and/or sexual behavior
- Many ethnicities are hypersexualized or desexualized, and those stereotypes can make it difficult to identify with or claim an aspec identity
- Stigma, stereotypes, and desirability politics around body types, gender, disability, and neurodivergence can also impact one's comfort with an aspec identity
- Things like lack of interest in sex, romance, and/or committed monogamous relationships are pathologized and listed as disorder criteria

Speaker notes: Stereotypes of other marginalized identities often deny people's agency over their orientations and their romantic and/or sexual behavior.

 People who are hypersexualized are sexually objectified and assumed to be "overly" sexual, to have sexual desire that is "too much"; to be always sexually



- interested and available, never saying no; and to be predatory and sexually aggressive.
- People who are desexualized are denied the possibility of sexuality and sexual agency; they are viewed as undesirable and often assumed to be incapable of understanding and/or desiring sex. They are often deromanticized as well based on the assumptions of being undesirable and underdeveloped.

Some examples:

- Asian men in western culture are desexualized and deromanticized, which has
 roots in immigration restrictions against Asians that wouldn't allow Asian men to
 settle or marry because they were viewed as a threat to white supremacy and the
 "purity" of white women.
- Other men of color, particularly black men and Native men, are hypersexualized and stereotyped as sexually aggressive, predatory, and uncivilized. These stereotypes can be traced back to white settlers, again viewing men of other races and ethnicities as a threat to white supremacy and the "purity" of white women.
- Asian women are fetishized by racial stereotypes, sexualized yet assumed not to have sexual desires or agency of their own, instead being ultra-submissive to the sexual desires of men. This has roots in the sexual violence perpetrated during military occupation of eastern Asian countries in WWII, as well as marriage trafficking that continues today.
- Native and First Nations women are also fetishized as an "exotic" race and hypersexualized yet denied sexual or romantic agency, stemming from colonialism, and they face especially high rates of sexual violence.
- Many Latine and Hispanic peoples are hypersexualized and romanticized by being stereotyped as "spicy," "fiery," passionate lovers, in both a romantic and sexual way, assumed to naturally have excessive desires.
- Black women are denied sexual and romantic agency; they are sometimes
 desexualized and deromanticized, assumed to have no desire, through the
 mammy stereotype and sometimes hypersexualized, assumed to have everpresent sexual desire, through the Jezebel stereotype, and both of these
 stereotypes have roots in the dehumanization imposed by slavery.
- This often intersects with body type. For example, curvy femmes are assumed to be inherently sexual because their bodies are hypersexualized.
- Fat people and disabled people are desexualized, deromanticized, and viewed as undesirable, and body positivity movements often push for acceptance by asserting and emphasizing romantic and sexual desirability, which can be inaccessible and unhelpful to aspecs.



- Disinterest in sex, romance, and/or committed monogamous relationships are
 pathologized and viewed as medical and mental health issues, often preventing
 aspecs from receiving the care they seek. Health professionals may attribute
 their aspec identity to a health problem or to their neurodivergence or disability,
 or vice versa. To them, health and recovery become synonymous with seeming
 alloromantic and allosexual.
- In some religions and in cultures with traditions of arranged marriage, people
 may be raised to believe that sex is not a function of desire but rather a marital
 requirement to have children, and likewise that marriage is an imperative of the
 family and one's own desires are secondary or even irrelevant.
- Expectations and stereotypes of binary gender roles also intersect, and we'll cover that more in the next few slides.

Clashing stereotypes get assumed to override or nullify an aspec identity, which can make someone feel like an aspec identity is impossible for them to have or like they are "less" of their other identity. Coinciding stereotypes get used to invalidate an aspec identity as if it is their natural default, which can make someone feel like they are confirming stereotypes of their other identity or not "really" aspec. Either way, it's often more difficult to assert an aspec identity, be secure in and feel no dissonance between one's identities, and be believed.

Slide 8:

ID: How Compulsory Sexuality Creates Harm

- Has a compounding effect on hypersexualisation
- Upholds pressures to have sex, whether that pressure is internally felt or being applied toward others, potentially coercively
- Sex is often seen as a biological and/or familial responsibility as part of couplehood, "having a family," and continuing family lines - such that a lack of interest may be viewed as something to be dismissed
- Lack of sex and/or sexual interest is viewed as both a physical and mental health issue

Speaker notes: Both compulsory sexuality and amatonormativity create a lot of harmful norms that we grow up with. While they're always harmful to everyone, they can be especially harmful and noticeable to aspec youth when they and their peers may start to explore, or be *expected to explore*, romance, sexuality, dating, and so on, which may also be around the time they might start reflecting more on their orientation and their



self-identity. These experiences can shine a harsher light on the intersections of marginalization they face.

- Expectations of sexuality differ significantly by gender expectations and stereotypes as well, and they are both heteronormative and cisnormative. For example:
- Men's sexuality is assumed to be aggressive and not romantic, and toxic
 masculinity discourages emotional openness. However, if they do not engage in
 romance, they are often stereotyped as predatory and exploitative.
- Women's sexuality is assumed to only exist as a function of romance. They are stereotyped as romantic and expected to be sexually available, but they are not expected to have their own primary sexual desire. Their sexual desire is expected to be responsive, such that they are willing to fill a romantic partner's sexual needs.
- These stereotypes and racial stereotypes are frequently enshrined in medical and psychological doctrine. For example, the DSM-5 pathologizes a lack of sexual desire and separates the diagnoses for men and women based on the assumption that men report, and this is a direct quote, "significantly higher intensity and frequency of sexual desire compared with women." It also asserts that East Asian people have less sexual desire than people in western cultures.
- Men's sexual desire is considered disordered if they do not desire sex, but
 women aren't assumed to desire sex naturally. Instead, their sexual desire is
 considered disordered if they don't get aroused during sex, are not interested in
 initiating sex with their partner assumed to be within the context of a committed
 relationships and are not receptive to their partner's initiation of sex.
- While this edition of the DSM finally carved out an exception for asexuality, it still reinforces compulsory sexuality and gendered stereotypes. The entire sexual dysfunction section also generally assumes the context of a committed romantic relationship, with some criteria only being evaluated in relation to the socionormative expectations of a romantic-sexual relationship, such that sex therapy is conflated with couple's therapy. These kinds of expectations are invalidating toward all kinds of aspec people and can exclude them from getting care.
- Trans and nonbinary people may face different stereotypes of their perceived gender as well as their actual gender identity. Being trans or nonbinary is often wrongly viewed as a sexual fetish in itself, and trans people in particular are often stereotyped as predatory.
- All of these expectations of when and how to engage in sex intersect with stereotypes of other identities and can complicate one's identification and/or compound negative stereotypes.



Slide 9:

ID: How Amatonormativity Creates Harm

- Devalues nonromantic interpersonal relationships and erodes community
- Teaches us that we're not complete on our own, can't have fulfilling lives without being part of a romantic couple unit, and are better off in an unsatisfactory or even unhealthy relationship than single
- Engineers artificial scarcity around romance, sex, physical affection, emotional intimacy, support structures, and other forms of care
- Directly supports sex-negative attitudes and gender role stereotyping
- Western cultural hegemony that falsely universalizes patriarchal and white supremacist ideals

Speaker notes: In our society, romance is treated as intrinsically better and more valuable than other forms of interpersonal bonds. People commonly assume that committed monogamous romantic relationships are a universal and natural norm and goal, but the norm of monogamy was created and imposed by patriarchal societies to control women's sexuality in order to ensure paternity of landowning men for the purpose of patrilineal property inheritance. Before and outside of such societies, neither sexual exclusivity nor long-term/indefinite commitment were normative expectations of romantic relationships, and child raising responsibilities were typically shared across the community or extended kin instead of an insular nuclear family unit. Marriage has also been selectively promoted or prohibited for certain groups throughout history as a form of social control for enforcing white supremacy - for example, through colonialism and cultural genocide of Native Americans, slavery and Jim Crow, immigration restrictions against Asians, and marriage fundamentalist welfare legislation.

Though marriage has been reframed as individual fulfillment and companionate romantic love in order for the imposed norms to withstand women gaining legal personhood and rights, the legalization of no-fault divorce, free love, and other civil rights movements, it is still property law and the societal norm of monogamy continues to exist to enable families to hoard their wealth and to assign parents control over their children. Amatonormativity is, of course, especially damaging to aromantic people, particularly aromantic allosexuals, but it is a fundamental axis of oppression in a system that benefits only the privileged.

Amatonormativity harms everyone very directly, such as by promoting isolation and unhealthy relationship views. The belief that committed romantic relationships have



special value leads to the overlooking of other important interpersonal relationships and the erosion of community. For example, sociological research consistently shows that people become more insular when they get married, regardless of whether or not they have children. They become less likely to spend time with or help their friends, parents, siblings, neighbors, or community organizations, and they have less friends than people who stay single. This is why many aros fear losing their social groups and support networks when their alloromantic friends enter romantic relationships.

Amatonormativity is also intrinsically linked with sex shaming because it pushes monogamous romantic commitment. When we're expected to get all our social needs met by an exclusive romantic relationship, it creates a scarcity mindset around physical affection, emotional intimacy, support structures, and sex. For example, the popular idea of people having "the one" they're "meant to be" with, or a "soulmate," discounts the effort that one must choose to put into a relationship to make it work, and it displaces personal accountability. When a relationship doesn't work out, people may write it off as not being "real" because it wasn't "the one" they were "meant to be" with, or they may stay in a bad relationship because they think they can only find love once and it's so all-important that preserving the relationship is worth any sacrifice.

That artificial scarcity is then accompanied by inherent sex shaming, gender role stereotyping, and the idea that romance and sex are some kind of zero-sum game and power struggle, all attitudes which support rape culture. People are wrongfully taught that all women want is romance and all men want is sex, so they have to exploit each other in order to get what they want. These toxic norms encourage emotional manipulation and discourage open communication.

Moreover, sex without romance is condemned as immoral and harmful while romantic sex is pedestalized as a special expression of love. This dehumanizes aro and ace people. Humanity isn't conditioned on love of any kind, and love doesn't have moral significance. When love is considered so unassailably sacrosanct and pure, it gets used to excuse or mitigate things that should be inexcusable: domestic abuse; child abuse; spousal and intimate partner violence, including sexual violence; so-called "crimes of passion"; marriage trafficking; romantic harassment; stalking; and so on. In fact, the majority of violence against women is committed by their current or ex husbands or romantic partners, and most murders of women are committed by intimate partners or family members. Love shouldn't be painted as the ultimate universal good, and the word "romantic" isn't synonymous with "virtuous and wholesome."



Just like compulsory sexuality encourages sexual harassment, amatonormativity and the accompanying gender role expectations encourage romantic harassment. Our society teaches women that they should "play hard to get" and that being "too easy" is a bad thing, and men are taught that persistent pursuit of a romantic interest is not only acceptable but expected in order to "win" someone over. In fact, society doesn't really have concepts of romantic harassment or romantic objectification. If someone refuses to "give up" on making romantic advances after being rejected, this persistence is commonly considered desirable behavior instead of unacceptable behavior, but this is just as inappropriate as repeated unwanted sexual advances. It's harassment and it's a violation of boundaries. Unfortunately, the recipients of this reprehensible behavior are often vilified if they don't "give the person a chance." Treating love, including and especially romantic love, as inherently moral and pure fails to recognize these types of harms. No one is ever entitled to a relationship or to having their romantic feelings reciprocated, just like no one is ever entitled to sex. It is not a prize people can earn by being friendly. Consent requires the freedom to say "no" without fear of repercussion.

Slide 10:

ID: The Relationship Escalator

(An image of an arrow pointing up with the phrases from bottom to top: "dating and/or having sex", "emotional investment, 'catching feelings", "a committed, monogamous relationship", "cohabitation", "marriage", "children")

- Coined by Amy Gahran
- Progressive hierarchy of relationships
- Each step is presumed to inevitably follow the "lower" rung
- Sets a standard by which the importance and seriousness of relationships is judged
- Assumes lifelong exclusive commitment and the formation of a nuclear family unit to be the ultimate goal, always

Speaker notes: Furthermore, consenting to sex is not consenting to romance, and vice versa. Many people think that romantic attachment is an inevitability of having sex, but that is an amatonormative misconception. Aroallos often have trouble finding sexual partners who respect their boundaries surrounding romance, just like how it's often difficult for alloro aces to form romantic relationships where their sexual boundaries are respected.



Slide 11:

ID: Examples of Amatonormative Tropes in Media

- A character supposedly transforms into a good/better person because they develop romantic feelings; romantic love is seen as redeeming
- Characters who do not desire romantic relationships undergo "character development" by "meeting the right person" and ending up in a relationship
- All single characters get paired off into couple units
- Happy endings are equated with romantic endings; love saves the day and solves all problems
- Casual sex "inevitably" leads to romantic feelings and a relationship
- Romantic angst and drama without reason or build-up is somehow universally understood and a compelling emotional narrative

Speaker notes: The messaging is that people have to be coupled in order to be happy, that we are not whole or fulfilled on our own, that romantic harassment is acceptable and wholesome

Slide 12:

ID: Why Does This Matter?

You are already serving asexual and aromantic youth in your libraries whether you know it or not!

- The Trevor Project's October 2020 poll found in their sample of over 40,000 LGBTQ youth, **10% identified as asexual or ace spectrum.**
- Asexual youth reported higher rates of depression and anxiety compared to the overall LGBTQ sample.
- Little research data exists on aromantic people due to underrecognition and underinclusion.

Asexual and aromantic youth have little cultural awareness and representation in popular culture, and face stigma for their orientations. As a section of the population routinely erased and made invisible, their specific needs must be addressed. #LibrariesAreForAll



Speaker notes: Bringing aspec awareness to the mainstream and making asexuality and aromanticism visible to *everyone*, and not just to include aspec communities in pride, is really important! Because of how underrepresented, erased, and invisible our identities often are, many people may not even know to question – especially for aromanticism, which is even less-known and can be harder to identify because romantic attraction is such a nebulous concept.

With the prevailing narrative that everyone will one day "meet the right person," it's easy to fall back on various "justifications" for why one hasn't been interested or involved in romance or romantic relationships, instead of realizing that means something. Often, these are excuses that *other people* make to explain one's behavior or experience. For example, when telling people one isn't interested in romantic relationships, what they often automatically translate that to in their head is, "I'm not interested in romantic relationships *right now*" – and they offer up things like, "Don't worry, you still have plenty of time! Focus on your education/career!" Before understanding their identities, many aros may simply assume that they haven't experienced romantic attraction because they haven't "met the right person *yet*" or because they're focused on other things at this point in their lives, but that they will someday.

Furthermore, when people *do* learn about aromanticism, many of them learn about it hand-in-hand with or in the context of asexuality, sometimes as if the two are inseparable or even as if aromanticism is some kind of subset of asexuality. This makes it even harder for aroallos to discover their identities. Many aroallos started out not knowing it was *possible* for them to be aromantic because of their sexuality, as if aromantic either wasn't something that could apply to them or wasn't something they could comfortably claim.

Slide 13:

ID: Why Should You Care?

- To understand another facet of the human experience and the queer community. Aces and aros (along with agender people) put the 'A' in LGBTQIAP+!
- The asexual and aromantic perspectives regarding love, intimacy, commitment, consent, and life paths are incredibly valuable.
- To better understand how sex- and romance-normative societies constrain our lives.
- Because you may be on the ace and/or aro spectrums and not know it yet.



 So you can be a supportive and helpful resource for the ace and/or aro people in your life, including patrons!

Speaker notes: For example, aspecs have unique perspectives and insight on topics like compulsory sexuality and amatonormativity – even if they don't approach it in an intellectual or academic way, we are confronted with these issues every day.

Slide 14:

ID: Building Youth Resilience

Providing tools and resources to help aspec youth live full, authentic lives

Areas of Focus:

- Research
- Inclusion
- Media
- Community Building
- Skill Building

Slide 15:

ID: Research

Take time to educate yourself about a-spectrum identities:

- Asexual Visibility and Education Network (AVEN): https://www.asexuality.org/
- Aromantic-spectrum Union for Recognition, Education, and Awareness (AUREA): https://www.aromanticism.org/
- The Ace and Aro Advocacy Project (TAAAP): https://taaap.org/
- Ace: What Asexuality Reveals About Desire, Society, and the Meaning of Sex by Angela Chen
- Aro Eros Arrows by Michón Neal
- Asexuality: A Brief Introduction by AsexualityArchive.com http://www.asexualityarchive.com/book/
- A Quick & Easy Guide to Queer & Trans Identities by Mady G. and Jules Zuckerberg

Slide 16:



ID: Inclusion

- Use inclusive language! Say "orientation" instead of exclusively "sexual orientation." Say "LGBTQIA+" or "queer," not "LGBT" and "gay." Train staff on aspec awareness and inclusion.
- Make discussions of queerness and queer topics, flyering, and program signage explicitly inclusive of aces and aros. Use the ace and aro pride flags.
- Recognise Aromantic Spectrum Awareness Week (ASAW) and Ace Week/Asexual Awareness Week (AAW).
- Don't make statements that assume your teen patrons will date, fall in love, have sex, get married, etc., and don't center Pride around love. Step in if someone is being bullied or harassed.

(an image of the asexual flag)
The asexual flag

(an image of the aromantic flag)
The aromantic

Speaker notes: ASAW: First full week (starting Sunday) after February 14, Valentine's

AAW: Last full week in October

Due to erasure and lack of awareness around our identities, aspecs will not assume we are automatically included in broader pride groups or discussions if we are not explicitly included; we are more likely to assume (and we tend to be right) that we are overlooked or excluded.

Slide 17:

ID: Media

Help youth become literate in identifying and critically analysing amatonormativity, singlism, and compulsory sexuality in media

Add books containing aspec representation to your collections! Search:

- https://www.aroacedatabase.com/
- https://queersff.theillustratedpage.net/
- https://queerbooksforteens.com/
- https://lgbtgreads.com/



- https://www.yapride.org/
- Asexuals In Fiction 5 + Poets Google Doc
- Asexual Books | Goodreads Genres
- Asexuals In Fiction | Goodreads Listopia
- The Aromantic and Asexual Characters Database | obvibase.com

Curate collections (including digital articles, where relevant) of:

- Content with aspec representation
- Young adult content that does not contain romance and/or sex
- Sex education content that includes asexual and aromantic experiences

Speaker notes: How:

- Programming informative media and inclusive programming on these topics.
- Host book clubs to unpack these concepts.
- Call attention to how media handles these matters when reviewing or booktalking media.

There isn't a lot of content with aspec representation, but more and more is being created, usually by own voices. Representation is really important, but aspecs also just want to be able to consume content that doesn't contain romance and/or sex, and that can be difficult because it's usually assumed that everyone is interested in those things and likes to see them in media.

Slide 18:

ID: Community & Skill Building Programming

Slide 19:

ID: Aspec Community Building

- Establish/facilitate aspec social groups and gatherings
- Connect youth to aspec resources
- Connect youth to local aspec groups, if possible
- Host aspec speakers for events

Why it's important: Empowers aspec youth to broaden their support networks, form connections and community with other aspec folks, and know they're not alone.



Speaker notes:

- Because of amatonormative relationship hierarchies and social norms, many people rely on insular romantic and/or familial relationships for support and care and to fill their social needs
- For nonpartnering and/or nonpartnered people, and for people whose families don't accept or support them, this can mean a lack of access to support and care
- Many aspecs struggle to find and connect with other aspecs in person and as a result may feel like they have no one to relate to on a core aspect of themselves

Slide 20:

ID: Intellectual Freedom

Teach youth about how to protect their privacy online, including but not limited to:

- Adblocking
- Tracking protection
- VPNs
- Data minimization

Why it's important: In addition to being a crucial component of internet safety, this empowers teens with marginalized identities to better protect themselves if it's not safe for them to be out at home.

Speaker notes: At first glance, this might not seem especially aspec-relevant, but it's a really important way to help youth protect themselves from online harassment or when it's not safe for them to be out at home.

The Library Freedom Project has several resources to, for example, help librarians understand surveillance threats and digital privacy tools. Some of these are available on their website at libraryfreedom.org. And in conjunction with New York University, the Library Freedom Institute offers free courses to train librarians as privacy advocates. For example, they can learn about advocating for privacy rights, protecting library patrons' privacy from online surveillance, and training patrons on privacy strategies and threats.

Protecting privacy can seem like an insurmountable summit. It's not really something that you just do and then you're done. But every little bit matters. Every action, no matter how small, makes a difference and helps to normalize good privacy practices, which helps protect the people who need those tools most from being singled out when



they use them. Anything that deviates from the defaults being set by large corporations and governments is voting with your user habits to help change the harmful standards and norms they are setting.

Library Freedom Project Finsta project - https://libraryfreedom.org/finsta-project/ Bye-Bye Facebook project -

https://github.com/alisonLFP/libraryfreedominstitute/blob/master/LFI2/finalprojects/Quitting%20Facebook%20Cards-%20110119.pdf

Slide 21:

ID: Medical Care and Estate Planning

Wills, living trusts, powers of attorney, healthcare directives, and so on

- Educational workshops to explain the concepts (ideally health insurance as well) and how they work
- Training workshops to help people prepare and/or learn to self-prepare documents and make them legally binding
- **Community support groups** for witnessing and/or notarizing documents, mutual aid, etc.

Why it's important: Empowers single people to understand and assert rights that are commonly tied to marriage.

Speaker notes:

- Medical care and estate planning requires people to rely on others for support, normatively their nuclear family - kids rely on their parents, then spouses rely on each other, and eventually parents rely on their kids
- Some people are not able to leverage nuclear family connections for care, and single people in particular may not have any plans in place and may not know how to make them or what options are available to them
- These things can create alternatives to default legal next-of-kin designations that follow the nuclear family structure

Training workshops: Create opportunity for people to have their documents witnessed - by workshop facilitators, other attendees, and/or librarians

Community support groups: Some ideas include...

- Connect people to notaries public
 - o Refer to partner network/external resource



- Establish/facilitate space for office hours/open house type of events where people could walk in and have a document notarised
- Encourage and/or assist librarians to become notaries
- Establish/facilitate community circles of care/mutual aid networks connect people in need of trustworthy advocates to people willing to show up for each other (and vice versa) in various ways, such as:
 - o Being an emergency contact people can list with health providers, etc.
 - Health care advocates, particularly for marginalised people
 - Providing transportation to/from health providers
 - Helping with post-surgical recovery, for example (any/all of the following distributing work so that no one person is overwhelmed):
 - Check-ins with the person
 - Care baskets
 - Committing to stay with them after anaesthesia so that they can be discharged
 - Running an errand
 - Personal care assistance
 - Volunteering to act as power of attorney, will executor, living trust successor trustee, etc.
 - Being a beneficiary to financial assets e.g. life insurance, accidental death insurance, payable on death financial accounts, transfer on death property, any other assets not legally restricted to spouses and/or dependents

Slide 22:

ID: Collections: Starter Pack Recommendations

Slide 23:

ID: Starter Pack for Adult Staff: Deconstructing Amatonormativity & Singlism

Minimizing Marriage: Marriage, Morality, and the Law by Elizabeth Brake

Singled Out: How Singles are Stereotyped, Stigmatized, and Ignored, and Still Live Happily Ever After by Bella DePaulo



Untrue: Why Nearly Everything We Believe About Women, Lust, and Adultery is Wrong and How the New Science Can Set Us Free by Wednesday Martin

Marriage, a History: How Love Conquered Marriage by Stephanie Coontz

Speaker notes: *Minimizing Marriage* by Elizabeth Brake is where the term amatonormativity was coined

Bella DePaulo does a lot of research on singlism and how single people thrive in spite of it. She has lots of articles here:

https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/contributors/bella-depaulo-phd

Slide 24:

ID: Starter Pack for Adult Staff: Deconstructing Amatonormativity & Singlism

Integrated Non-monogamy Book One: Aros Eros Arrows by Michón Neal

Sex at Dawn: How We Mate, Why We Stray, and What It Means for Modern Relationships by Chris Ryan and Cacilda Jethá

Undoing Monogamy: The Politics of Science and the Possibilities of Biology by Angie Willey

Making Kin Not Population by Kim Tallbear

Speaker notes: Aros Eros Arrows by Michón Neal is the only book so far to my knowledge that focuses on aromanticism

Kim Tallbear also has a blog at http://www.criticalpolyamorist.com/ and writes a lot about deconstructing settler sexuality

Slide 25:

ID: Starter Pack: Ace & Aro Books for Teens - Nonfiction

How to Be Ace: A Memoir of Growing Up Asexual by Rebecca Burgess

Ace: What Asexuality Reveals about Desire, Society, and the Meaning of Sex by Angela Chen



A Quick & Easy Guide to Queer & Trans Identities by Mady G. and Jules Zuckerberg

Gender Queer: A Memoir by Maia Kobabe

Slide 26:

ID: Starter Pack: Ace & Aro Books for Teens - Aro Fiction

The Last 8 by Laura Pohl

Loveless by Alice Oseman

Two Dark Moons by Avi Silver

Summer Bird Blue by Akemi Dawn Bowman

Slide 27:

ID: Starter Pack: Ace & Aro Books for Teens - Ace Fiction

Let's Talk About Love by Claire Kann

Beyond the Black Door by A. M. Strickland

Every Heart A Doorway by Seanan McGuire

Tash Hearts Tolstoy by Kathryn Ormsbee

Slide 28:

ID: Advanced Pack: Ace & Aro Fiction Books - For Older Teens

#1-3 "Toronto Connections" series by Cass Lennox

#1-3 "All for the Game" series by Nora Sakavic

An Unkindness of Ghosts by Rivers Solomon



How to Be a Normal Person by T.J. Klune

Slide 29:

ID: Starter Pack: Ace & Aro Representation in Popular Media

Todd Chavez

Bojack (Netflix)

Amos Burton

The Expanse (James S.A. Corey/Syfy)

Jughead Jones

Archie (DC Comics)

Sheldon Cooper

The Big Bang Theory (Warner Bros.)

Speaker notes: There's very little representation and it often gets erased, whether it's by fans who want to see romantic and sexual relationships or by other media adaptations (looking at Jughead Jones and Riverdale...). Other times, it's only confirmed by word-of-god and either retconned or censored in the media itself.

Slide 30:

ID: Resources

https://www.arospecweek.org

https://internationalasexualityday.org/en/

https://acesandaros.org

https://www.aromanticism.org/

https://taaap.org/

https://www.asexuality.org/

Slide 31:



ID: Contact Info and/or Q&A

Sam Helmick: <u>samhelmick.library@gmail.com</u>

Elizabeth Graham: <u>e.a.graham01@gmail.com</u>

Kadie Craighead: kt.craighead@ymail.com

The Ace and Aro Advocacy Project: advocacy@taaap.org

(the YALSA logo)

Speaker notes: TAAAP is also writing books! The first one is geared toward health providers, educators, and other helping professionals to help them understand aspec identities and issues and provide competent care that is sensitive to their needs. It includes anecdotal data from hundreds of aces and aros from all over the world. This one is mostly done and we are looking for a publisher. We have several more books planned.

We also have a Bookshop affiliate page where various folks in TAAAP have made book recommendations, whether they contain aspec representation or are about aspecrelated issues or otherwise: https://bookshop.org/shop/taaap

And *You Are Asexual*, a choose your own adventure book by A. C. Evermore, was just published last month (October 2021)!